

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

FROM: STUART T. PYLE
CONSULTING ENGINEER
3707 PANORAMA DRIVE
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93306

PHONE NO. (661) 873-9225
FAX NO. (661) 873-9225

TO: Kate Hansel
CALFED

FAX NUMBER:

DATE: January 21, 2000

NUMBER OF PAGES 4 INCLUDING COVER

MESSAGE

Kate: Here is my draft to Hap Dunning with some comments on the December Governance material. They repeat some of the points I made at the December Policy meeting. The main points are as follows:

1. Principle No. 1 should embrace full partnership among the State, federal agencies and stakeholder groups.
2. With the change to full partnership, the Principles as adopted in December are adequate to guide the formation of a CALFED commission.
3. It is recognized by all that the Principles are not intended to promote or validate the "straw proposal" for a commission submitted to the Work Group previously.
4. A commission formed mainly of employees of State and federal water or environmental agencies would be unworkable because of chain-of command conflicts and the biases of some to employer missions rather than commitment to the overall CALFED mission.

Re Governance to Kate Hansel

Page 2

5. The current Policy Group should continue to function within any commission structure for vital coordination, budgeting and implementation of the CALFED program.

6. The ERP implementation entity could function within a governmental commission or as a corporate entity largely managed by environmental groups.

7. With a corporate ERP entity, there would still remain the need for a body within the commission for policy guidance, funding, science control and CMARP.

8. A CALFED commission structure as shown in the recent Legislative Research Bureau draft appears more reasonable than the former "straw proposal."

9. It may be useful for the Governance Work Group to consider some of the alternative types of commission structure that will probably be presented to the Legislature in coming months.